UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DENISSE VILLALTA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JS BARKATS, P.L.L.C. and SUNNY BARKATS,

Defendants.

USDC-SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC#: DATE FILED:

16-CV-2772 (RA)

AMENDED ORDER

RONNIE ABRAMS, United States District Judge:

On April 13, 2016, Plaintiff Denise Villalta filed this action against Defendants JS Barats, P.L.L.C. and Sunny Barkats, alleging that Defendants engaged in egregious quid pro quo sexual harassment. Dkt. 1. The parties engaged in several years of contentious litigation, during which Defendants failed to participate in discovery, disregarded court orders, an engaged in unethical conduct intended to derail or delay this case. *See* Dkt. 125 at 1. On November 22, 2019, Plaintiff filed a motion to hold Defendants in default. Dkt. 87. The motion was granted. Dkt. 93 (adopting the Report and Recommendation at docket entry 90). After entering a default judgment, the Court referred the case to Magistrate Judge Lehrburger for an inquest on damages. Dkt. 94. Pursuant to Judge Lehrburger's order, Plaintiff filed proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law on December 2, 2020. Dkt. 104. On April 16, 2022, Judge Lehrburger issued a report and recommendation (the "Report"), recommending that the Court award Plaintiff (1) lost wages damages in the amount of \$24,352.85, inclusive of pre-judgment interest; (2) emotional harm damages in the amount of \$350,000; (3) punitive damages in the amount of \$700,000; (4) attorneys' fees in the amount of

\$128,056.74; (5) costs in the amount of \$2,285.28; and (6) post-judgment interest in an amount to be set by the Clerk of Court. Neither party filed objections to the Report.¹

A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Parties may object to a magistrate judge's recommended findings "[w]ithin 14 days after being served with a copy of the recommended disposition." Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). That deadline is extended to 17 days when service is made by mail. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(d). "When the parties make no objections to the Report, the Court may adopt the Report if 'there is no clear error on the face of the record." *Smith v. Corizon Health Servs.*, 14-CV-8839 (GBD), 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS XX, 2015 WL 6123563, at *1 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 16, 2015) (quoting *Adee Motor Cars, LLC v. Amato*, 388 F. Supp. 2d 250, 253 (S.D.N.Y. 2005)). "Furthermore, if as here, the . . . magistrate judge's report states that failure to object will preclude appellate review and no objection is made within the allotted time, then the failure to object generally operates as a waiver of the right to appellate review." *Hamilton v. Mount Sinai Hosp.*, 331 F. App;x 874, 875 (2d Cir. 2009) (citations omitted).

As no objections to the Report were filed, the Court has reviewed Judge Lehrbuerger's Report for clear error. The Court finds no error—clear or otherwise—and thus adopts the well-reasoned Report in its entirety. It is therefore ordered that Plaintiff is awarded (1) lost wages damages in the amount of \$24,352.85, inclusive of pre-judgment interest; (2) emotional harm damages in the amount of \$350,000; (3) punitive damages in the amount of \$700,000; (4) reasonable attorneys' fees in the amount of \$128,056.74; (5) costs in the amount of \$2,285.28; and (6) post-judgment interest pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961.

¹ According to the docket, copies of the Report were transmitted to all counsel of record on April 16, 2021. Dkt. 125.

Case 1:16-cv-02772-RA-RWL Document 127 Filed 06/16/21 Page 3 of 3

The Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to mail a copy of this Order to Defendants and to close the case.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 16, 2021

New York, New York

RONNIE ABRAMS

United States District Judge